Extending the amygdala in theories of threat processing

Andrew S. Fox1,2,3,4,5, Jonathan A. Oler1,2,3, Do P.M. Tromp1,2,3, Julie L. Fudge6,7, and Ned H. Kalin1,2,3,4,5,8

1Department of Psychiatry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA
2HealthEmotions Research Institute, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA
3Lane Neuroimaging Laboratory, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA
4Waisman Laboratory for Brain Imaging and Behavior, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA
5Center for Investigating Healthy Minds at the Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA
6Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA
7Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA
8Department of Psychology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA

The central extended amygdala is an evolutionarily conserved set of interconnected brain regions that play an important role in threat processing to promote survival. Two core components of the central extended amygdala, the central nucleus of the amygdala (Ce) and the lateral bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BST) are highly similar regions that serve complimentary roles by integrating fear- and anxiety-related information. Survival depends on the ability of the central extended amygdala to rapidly integrate and respond to threats that vary in their immediacy, proximity, and characteristics. Future studies will benefit from understanding alterations in central extended amygdala function in relation to stress-related psychopathology.

Extending the amygdala

The term amygdala refers to a group of subregions or nuclei that together comprise a core component of an emotion-related network. This anatomical concept has been of considerable value and is fundamental to theories of threat-processing and emotion dysfunction [1–5]. In large part, based on rodent studies of threat processing, the amygdala has become a region of intense interest in psychiatric research focused on the pathogenesis of anxiety disorders and affective disorders [6,7]. Importantly, the amygdala subnuclei do not function in isolation. Their dense connectivity with other brain regions is critical for adaptively responding to stress as well as in facilitating responding to both explicitly cued immediate threats and distant threats that are more uncertain. It is notable that some of the nuclei of the amygdala have similarities with other basal forebrain neurons, providing the basis for the concept of the ‘extended amygdala’. Here, we review animal research, and recent human neuroimaging studies, to highlight the extended amygdala, and its role in fear and anxiety [8–10]. Our intent is to encourage researchers interested in stress, emotion, and psychopathology to consider the concept of the extended amygdala and its intricate microcircuitry as they interpret their findings. Even though the tools available to human researchers currently cannot fully dissociate the extended amygdala from nearby regions, the concept of the extended amygdala is highly relevant to understanding the pathophysiology of anxiety and depressive disorders (Box 1).

First, we discuss the rationale for grouping regions into an extended amygdala circuit. We focus on two major components, one within the amygdala proper, the Ce, and the other outside the amygdala, within the BST. Next, we discuss the cross-species evidence linking Ce and BST to anxiety- and fear-related responding. Specifically, we examine how the proposed roles for Ce and BST in threat processing interact to give rise to fear- and anxiety-related behaviors that function to promote survival. Survival depends on interaction between extended amygdala regions to rapidly integrate and respond to threats that vary in their immediacy, proximity, and characteristics. The extended amygdala is an important and useful concept that will help further the understanding of adaptive and maladaptive expressions of fear and anxiety in humans.

Anatomy of Ce and BST

The amygdala is not a single functional or structural unit; rather, it is composed of numerous subnuclei that have been suggested to constitute at least three different anatomical and functional networks [9] (Figure 1). The olfactory network involves the medial nucleus of the amygdala (Me) connecting with structures involved in olfaction, including olfactory predator cues [11]. The frontotemporal network involves the large basal and lateral nuclei of the amygdala with their cortical connections. Most relevant to this review is the autonomic network which, via the Ce, projects to brainstem and hypothalamic structures that are necessary to mount fear- and anxiety-related responses [1,9]. As early as 1923, Johnston noted...
Box 1. Citing the BST in human fMRI studies

Despite the growing attention to the BST in animal research, human researchers have not yet fully embraced the notion of the extended amygdala, and few human neuroimaging studies have discussed the role of the BST. Although brain imaging studies reporting amygdala activation number in the thousands, there are fewer than 100 human imaging studies examining the BST (Figure I). This discrepancy, in part, results from the fact that most available software for automated labeling of cluster locations does not include the BST. Thus, researchers lacking a background in comparative neuroanatomy may remain unaware that their cluster encompasses the BST region. Moreover, the location, shape, and size of the BST make it difficult to clearly identify using MRI. Specifically, the BST is small and oblong, which, when combined with low-resolution imaging and common preprocessing techniques (e.g., Gaussian blurring), can leave the BST indistinguishable from bordering regions at the resolution of most brain imaging studies (often acquired in 2–4-mm³ voxels). Thus, even individuals familiar with the BST often remain cautious in claiming that their finding results from BST activation.

Researchers should continue to approach naming their clusters with caution, particularly because the BST nuclei are intermixed with other nearby regions, such as the shell of the nucleus accumbens. Nevertheless, regardless of the neuroanatomical label one chooses to apply to clusters that encompass portions of the BST, it remains clear that study of this region will reveal important new information relevant to understanding the neurobiology of emotion. Therefore, we recommend that, even in a situation where researchers cannot attribute an activation cluster specifically to the BST, researchers discuss the role of the BST as well as other basal forebrain regions that could give rise to the activation cluster. Additionally, we recommend that scientists specifically interested in human central extended amygdala regions begin to explore high-resolution MRI (e.g., [27]) and/or combine MRI with chemoarchitectonic PET imaging to precisely localize an individual subjects activation (e.g., [69]). By exploring the relation between BST-region activation and the theorized role for the BST in threat processing, we will be able to link mechanistic studies in specific subnuclei to the experience of fear and anxiety in humans suffering from stress-related psychopathology.

Figure I. The number of fMRI articles calling attention to the amygdala as compared to ‘Bed Nucleus of the Stria Terminalis (BST)’ or extended amygdala. More specifically, the total number of fMRI articles (solid) and percentage of total articles using fMRI (dashed), where PubMed searches matched ‘Amygdala’ (blue), and ‘(Extended amygdala OR BNST OR BST OR ‘Bed Nucleus of Stria Terminalis’ OR ‘Bed Nucleus of the Stria Terminalis’)’ (red). Abbreviation: fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging.

Figure 1. The number of fMRI articles calling attention to the amygdala as compared to ‘Bed Nucleus of the Stria Terminalis (BST)’ or extended amygdala.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>% of Total Articles Using fMRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**similarities between the Ce and BST based on developmental and cross-species comparisons [12]. These observations, along with evidence of similar cell types, dense inter-connections between the structures, and similar connectivity patterns with other brain regions, led Alheid and Heimer to propose a new anatomical concept termed the extended amygdala [8]. Their initial description of the extended amygdala highlighted the Ce and BST along with other primarily GABAergic regions that included: the intercalated masses interspersed throughout the amygdala; the medial nucleus of the amygdala; portions of the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) bordering the BST; and ‘cell corridors’ throughout the white matter connecting the Ce and BST (e.g., substantia innominata). They further subdivided the extended amygdala into medial and central divisions. The medial extended amygdala, named for its inclusion of Me, also includes medial subdivisions of the BST. Whereas, the central extended amygdala is named for its inclusion of the Ce, and also contains the lateral regions of the BST (for greater detail, see [8,13,14]).**

The remainder of this review focuses on the central extended amygdala because of its well-documented role in autonomic regulation and threat responding. It is notable that the core components of the central extended amygdala are even more complex, in that Ce and lateral BST are comprised of multiple sub-regions (Figure 1). To be specific, the Ce contains the lateral Ce (CeL), medial Ce (CeM) and capsular Ce (CeC), and the central BST is comprised of the lateral BST sub-regions (Figure 1). It is important to emphasize that the central extended amygdala is not alone in its capacity to initiate threat responses, as there are other substrates capable of initiating threat responding [15]. Moreover, the central extended amygdala is not uniquely associated with threat processing, as it plays a role in other processes, including reward [16] (see Box 2 for a brief discussion).

**Ce and BST have similar connectivity patterns**

The Ce and BST are thought to have generally similar patterns of efferent/afferent connectivity, however, the degree of similarity has been a topic of substantial discussion [9,17,18]. With regard to their potential involvement in threat processing, both Ce and BST project to threat-related brainstem and hypothalamic regions [9,19–21], and receive input from cortical regions, such as prefrontal and insular cortices [22–25]. To further examine similarities in connectivity of these structures, we drew upon a publicly available database from mouse tract tracing studies of brain-wide efferent and afferent connectivity [26]. As can be seen in Figure 2A,B, these analyses revealed that, in the mouse, the BST sub-nuclei have strikingly similar efferent and afferent connectivity patterns to those of the related Ce sub-nuclei.

Recent work using structural and functional neuroimaging of the human brain broadly supports the conclusions of rodent tract tracing studies [27,28]. For example, the Ce and BST inter-connections via the ventral amygdalofugal pathway and the stria terminalis can be identified and quantified in vivo using diffusion tensor imaging (e.g., Figure 1). We used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in young rhesus monkeys and adolescent humans to
Figure 1. Central extended amygdala (red/pink) and medial extended amygdala (blue) both encompass portions of the BST (top), Ce–BST pathways (middle), and amygdala (bottom). Although nomenclature varies by species, and by researcher, many regions are thought to have cross-species homology, which is denoted by color throughout and depicted in tables for BST (top left) and amygdala (bottom left). Atlas slices were adapted to highlight the proposed extended amygdala homologies across human ([98]; left), rhesus monkey ([99]; middle), and mouse ([35]; right). Ce–BST connections are show in 3D across the middle row, depicted with: a schematic from Heimer et al. ([100]; left), human DTI, rhesus monkey DTI, and a corresponding mouse drawing (right). Importantly, dashes in the tables do not always denote a lack of homology, but rather a lack of correspondence across atlases. For example, although the CeC can be seen in the human brain [100], this subregion of the Ce is not included in the Mai et al. 2007 human brain atlas. All figures reprinted with permission. Human abbreviations: Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BST), central division (BSTC), lateral division (BSTL), medial division (BSTM), central amygdala nucleus (Ce), lateral part (CeL), medial part (CeM), capsular part (CeC), medial amygdaloid nucleus (Me), basomedial amygdaloid nucleus (BM), basolateral amygdaloid nucleus (BL), paralaminar part (BLPL), lateral amygdaloid nucleus (La), fornix (fx), internal capsule (ic), anterior commissure (ac), optic tract (opt). Monkey abbreviations: Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (ST), lateral division (STL), dorsal (STLD), posterior (STLP), juxtacapsular (STLJ), medial division (STM), posterior (STMP), intermediate (STMI), anterior (STMA), extended amygdala (EA), central amygdala nucleus (Ce), lateral part (CeL), medial part (CeM), capsular part (CeC), medial amygdala nucleus (Me), basomedial amygdaloid (BM), basolateral amygdaloid nucleus (BL), lateral amygdaloid nucleus (La), paralaminar amygdala (PAL), internal capsule (ic), fornix (fx), optic tract (opt), anterior commissure (ac), stria terminalis (st). Mouse abbreviations: Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BST), oval nucleus (BSTov), anterolateral area (BSTal), juxtacapsular (BSTju), anteromedial area (BSTam), fusiform nucleus (BSTfu), substantia innominata (Si), central amygdala nucleus (CEa), lateral part (CEaL), medial part (CEaM), capsular part (CEaC), medial amygdaloid nucleus (MEA), basomedial amygdaloid nucleus (BMA), basolateral amygdaloid nucleus (BLA), lateral amygdaloid nucleus (LA), internal capsule (int), optic tract (opt), anterior commissure (ac), DTI, diffusion tensor imaging.

demonstrate that resting functional activation within Ce and BST is highly coordinated [29].

Together, these connectivity data, support the idea that the central extended amygdala is ideally suited to interface between cortical systems and the downstream brainstem effectors that are required to mount anxiety- and fear-related responses.

Similarities in cellular composition between Ce and BST

Heimer and colleagues noted that neurons in the Ce and BST share similar morphological and neurochemical characteristics [8,30]. While there are multiple subtypes, in general the neurons of the central extended amygdala resemble GABAergic neurons found in striatal regions [14,31,32]. However, unlike the striatum, GABAergic neurons in Ce and BST co-express various neuropeptides [14,33]. Supporting their phenotypic similarities, recent studies have revealed shared embryological origins of components of the central extended amygdala [34]. The Allen Institute for Brain Science (AIBS) mouse atlas allows for detailed analyses of regional patterns of gene expression [35]. Gene expression is an intermediary between DNA and
Box 2. A broader role for the extended amygdala

Here, we have focused on the role of the central extended amygdala in threat processing, and urge researchers to consider it in relation to models of human threat-processing and stress-related psychopathology. Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that our focus on threat processing in the central extended amygdala is primarily motivated by the extant literature, and that: (1) this is not the sole role of the central extended amygdala, which also plays a role in appetitive behaviors; and (2) many other regions play a role in threat processing, including the medial extended amygdala.

The extended amygdala in appetitive and additive behaviors. The extended amygdala plays an important role in appetitive [101] and additive behaviors [16], including motivated feeding, and potentially hunting [102,103]. Via projections to reward-related brain regions [e.g., ventral tegmental area (VTA)], appetitive behaviors can be initiated and suppressed from the central extended amygdala, as well as from the sexually dimorphic medial extended amygdala. For example, stimulation of BST afferents in rodents can both induce and attenuate appetitive behavior, depending on the type of neuron being activated [104]. In humans, activation of the BST region can reflect the trade-off between competing threats and rewards [105]. Moreover, the anterior edge of the extended amygdala includes portions of the shell of the nucleus accumbens, which contains neurons that, depending on the context, can code for either positive or negative information [106]. The data presented here, along with that reviewed elsewhere [107,108], suggest that the extended amygdala plays a broader role in balancing avoidant and appetitive motivations, which, when disrupted can form the core of many forms of psychopathology.

The role of other regions in the processing of threat. We propose that the central extended amygdala serves to link threat-related information with the appropriate behavioral and physiological outputs, which requires the coordinated function of a distributed brain circuit. Although this review is centered on the central extended amygdala, the importance of other parallel and complementary circuits cannot be understated — threat is not processed solely in the central extended amygdala (see [15]). For example, in addition to the Ce, the Me, which is part of the medial extended amygdala, has the capacity to initiate threat responses via projections to hypothalamic and brainstem regions [109]. Moreover, rodent studies have demonstrated that the Me plays a role in predator induced threat responses [110,111]. To date these rodent findings, demonstrating that the medial extended amygdala parallels the central extended amygdala, have yet to be translated to primate species. Nevertheless, the medial extended amygdala exemplifies a parallel circuit that should also be considered in relation to the pathophysiology of anxiety disorders.

In addition to circuits that work in parallel, there are many complementary regions that influence and/or are influenced by the central extended amygdala. These regions include, but are not limited to prefrontal cortical regions that have the capacity to convey regulatory and evaluative information [77,113,114], and hypothalamic and brainstem regions that are required to enact threat responses [19,115,116]. Moreover, functional connectivity analyses in humans suggest that the central extended amygdala may be associated with increased coordination among disparate functional circuits during the processing of potential threat [117]. To establish causality, human and non-human primate studies should further examine the effects of brain lesions that selectively disrupt functions of the central extended amygdala and complimentary regions on distributed brain function during the processing of threats (e.g., following the work of [77,88]).

the proteins that determine cellular phenotypes, therefore, cells with similar origins and functions should, to some extent, share similar gene expression profiles [36,37]. As can be seen in Figure 2C, we found robust correlations between gene expression in subnuclei of the Ce with those of the BST. In general, the magnitude of Ce–BST correlations exceeded those between Ce and other regions. These gene expression findings provide additional and novel evidence supporting the relatedness of the major components of the central extended amygdala.

Cross-species similarities and differences

Primates diverged from rodents ~75 million years ago, and this divergence is reflected in the ontogenesis and refinement of neural systems, including a well-developed cortex. Evolutionary pressures affected the orientation of the amygdala, such that the rodent lateral surface is similar to the primate ventral surface (Figure 1). Despite these species differences, initial studies suggest that the gross structure, cellular composition of amygdalar subnuclei, and many intra-amygdala connections are generally conserved. However, there are a number of important species differences. As compared to rodents that have a highly developed sense of smell, primates rely on visual and auditory information. As a result, human and non-human primates have evolved elaborate sensory association cortices, devoted to visual and auditory processes, as compared to the olfactory systems that are well developed in rodents [38,39]. These sensory differences between primates and rodents are also reflected in the relative enlargement of the basal and lateral amygdala nuclei in primates, which process incoming visual and auditory information [40,41]. In addition, in primates, the basal and lateral amygdala nuclei have larger gradients in cell size and relatively more glial cells [42]. Moreover, the paralaminar nucleus, a small-celled nucleus that in its ventral position envelops the basal and lateral nuclei, is prominent in primates but is not apparent in rodents [43]. Importantly, as compared to rodents, regions of the primate central extended amygdala are relatively enlarged compared to regions of the medial extended amygdala [44–46]. This enlargement is likely a reflection of the dense input that Ce and BST receive from the primate-enlarged basal and lateral nuclei, along with a decreased dependence on olfactory-related information that is processed by the medial extended amygdala. Together, these findings identify enough differences between rodent and primate extended amygdala that studies performed in rodents cannot be assumed to apply to the non-human primate. Nevertheless, the cross-species similarities provide a strong basis for forming translational hypotheses based on rodent work.

Threat responsivity of the Ce and BST

Extensive studies examining subregions of the amygdala demonstrated involvement of different amygdala nuclei in mediating acquisition of, response to, and extinction of learned threats. In general, the basolateral amygdala (BLA) is most related to the acquisition of conditioned threat, and the medial amygdala, as a component of the medial extended amygdala, has an important role in processing threat-related odors. The central extended amygdala, including the Ce, is thought to provide an interface between the basal regions of the amygdala and the downstream targets required to initiate physiological, behavioral, and emotional responses.
Figure 2. The Ce and BST show similar patterns of connectivity in rats (A, B), and similar gene expression in mice (C). We identified those brain structures that most often projected to, or received projections from, the same regions as the individual Ce subnuclei (CeL, CeM, and CeC). To accomplish this, we extracted information about efferent and afferent connectivity strength (ranging from does not exist to very strong) for all available brain regions. The efferent and afferent connectivity patterns for each region were correlated with the respective patterns of connectivity for the Ce subnuclei. Because the database is incomplete and we used correlational statistics, we restricted correlations to those based on at least 20 data points. Results demonstrated that BST subnuclei shared similar inputs (A) and outputs (B) with Ce subnuclei, as compared to other amygdala nuclei (top left), other anxiety-related regions (top middle), or the rest of the brain (bottom). To understand how gene expression within the Ce relates to that in the BST, and the exclusivity of this relation, we performed a large analysis with the Allen Institute for Brain Science (AIBS) atlas of mouse gene expression [35]. Specifically, we examined the correlation between gene expression profiles in Ce subnuclei with gene expression profiles in other brain regions. Results demonstrated BST subnuclei to have similar gene expression profiles to Ce subnuclei, as compared to other amygdala nuclei (top left), other anxiety-related regions (top middle), or the rest of the brain (bottom). For details of the relations between Ce subnuclei and other brain regions, zoom in on the online version to read text in the bottom panels. Abbreviations: Central amygdala (Ce) capsular (CeC), lateral (CeL), and medial (CeM) sub-nuclei; basolateral amygdala (BLA) anterior (BLAa), posterior (BLAp), ventral (BLSv) parts; lateral amygdalar nucleus (LA); hippocampal Ammon’s horn fields CA1, CA2, CA3, and dentate gyrus (DG) molecular layer (DG-mo), polymorph layer (DG-po) granule cell layer (DG-sg); caudoputamen (CP); prelimbic area (PL) and infralimbic Area (ILA) layers 1, 2, 2/4, 5, and 6a and 6b; bed nucleus of the stria terminals (BST) anterolateral (BSTal), fusiform (BSTfu), juxtacapsular (BSTjua), oval (BSTov), and rhomboid (BSTrh) sub-nuclei. Further definitions can be found online at: http://atlas.brain-map.org. 

(A) Ce and BST sub-nuclei receive projections from similar regions

(B) Ce and BST sub-nuclei project to similar regions

(C) Ce and BST sub-nuclei share similar gene expression patterns
Specific functions of Ce and BST

Studies demonstrate selective, but adaptively related, functions of Ce and BST in response to threat. Ce lesions produce deficits in threat responding in paradigms examining both conditioned and unconditioned threat [19]. Interestingly, BST lesions do not alter responses to threat in many of these same paradigms. Initial work by Davis and colleagues highlighted differences in function between Ce and BST. In rodents, BST lesions, but not Ce lesions, decreased the startle-enhancing effects of light, thought to reflect unconditioned threat responses, and selectively reduced the startle enhancing effects of the anxiogenic peptide corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) [47]. These and other studies showing a selective role for the BST in mediating responses to unconditioned and long-lasting stimuli led Davis and colleagues to initially propose that the Ce was responsible for fear, whereas the BST was specifically related to anxiety. In refining their view of the BST, Davis and colleagues suggested that it is more appropriate to link the BST to maintaining prolonged threat-preparedness [10,48]. For example, BST lesions attenuate motivated actions during long (10 min) but not short (1 min) threat–cue exposure [49]. Moreover, pharmacological activation of BST using calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) increases unconditioned responses during exposure to potentially threatening contexts that require sustained vigilance [50,51]. Another study demonstrated that Ce lesions decreased freezing to an aversively conditioned cue, whereas BST lesions blocked the increased baseline freezing occurring after repeated threat–cue presentation [52]. BST lesions also attenuate the naturally occurring generalization of a threat response to neutral cue presentation after cued-threat conditioning [53].

These studies demonstrate that, at least in rodents, the central extended amygdala is critical for anxiety- and fear-related responding induced by threat. Mechanistic studies focused on central extended amygdala microcircuitry reveal that its functional organization is well suited to integrate evaluative and regulatory signals with sensory information to initiate behavioral and physiological responses to stress. Sensory information is primarily transmitted to the Ce from the BLA, but hippocampus and prefrontal cortex can also influence Ce. For example, prefrontal inputs can modulate Ce via intermediate GABAergic neurons in the intercalated cell islands [54,55]. The Ce then integrates these regulatory/evaluative inputs to initiate coordinated emotional responses. Within the Ce, the CeM contains neurons that project to brainstem structures that mediate specific behavioral and physiological responses to threat [56,57]. These outputs can be coordinated, and modulated by inhibitory CeN networks to produce context appropriate coordinated responses [56,58–60]. Recent work in rodents is beginning to identify a similar functional organization within the BST, such that the CeL-like oval nucleus of the BST (BSTov) modulates function in the CeM-like anterolateral nucleus of the BST (BSTal), which, like the CeM, projects to downstream regions that mediate threat responsivity [61]. Through these Ce and BST microcircuits the central extended amygdala gates sensory and prefrontal triggers of threat responding, facilitating the regulation of anxiety- and fear-related behavior by integrating sources of ‘bottom-up’ and ‘top-down’ information.

Integrative functions of the Ce and BST

Microcircuitry studies of the amygdala and extended amygdala in rodents provide a framework for understanding how the subnuclei of these structures complexly interact to support adaptive responses. It is likely that the selective functions ascribed to Ce and BST work in concert to promote survival. Alterations in the functions of these structures may conspire to disrupt adaptive responding in individuals suffering from anxiety disorders and other stress-related psychopathology. In real life, direct threats often occur within contexts that require safety monitoring and vigilance. Thus, although Ce and BST functions may be dissociable, Ce-related immediate self-preservation responses to threat often co-occur with BST-related sustained preparedness.

Our work in non-human primates (recently reviewed in [62,63]) has identified that both Ce and BST metabolism are associated with freezing during sustained exposure to a potentially threatening human predator. We have examined hundreds of animals using the human intruder paradigm, in which a human presents their profile and makes no-eye-contact (NEC) with the monkey. Unlike more directly threatening contexts, such as when a human intruder stare at the animal, the uncertain and potentially threatening NEC context elicits a robust avoidant and defensive behavioral response [64]. During NEC, animals, on average, increase their freezing, emit fewer vocalizations, and have increased activation of the hypothalamic, pituitary, adrenal axis. Interestingly, there is large individual variation in behavior, such that during the NEC context extreme animals freeze for the entire 30 min of NEC exposure, while others may not freeze at all. Examining this natural variation in NEC-elicted freezing in relation to brain metabolism using fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET), we demonstrated that individual differences in freezing, cooing, and cortisol are associated with variation in both Ce (verified with chemoarchitectonic imaging of the serotonin transporter) and BST-region metabolism [65–70]. FDG-PET data reflect integrated metabolism over a 30-min uptake period, thus, measurements of metabolic activity during the NEC context captures, and does not differentiate between acute and sustained components of the response to threat.

We use the term anxious temperament (AT) to describe trait-like individual differences in the behavioral and physiological responses to the NEC context (i.e., increased freezing, decreased coo calling, and increased cortisol secretion). We have demonstrated that AT is a trait-like measure that is stable across both time and context [66,71]. Moreover, we found that brain metabolism associated with individual differences in AT is also stable across time and context [66,71]. In a study of 238 young rhesus monkeys phenotyped for NEC-induced AT and brain metabolism, we demonstrated that AT was associated with increased metabolism in diverse brain regions, including clusters that encompass central extended amygdala regions [69]. Consistent with these results, Ce lesions

...
decreased freezing and increased affiliative vocalizations during exposure to the potentially threatening NEC context [72]. Interestingly, lesions of the whole amygdala did not alter the same threat-related behaviors and, although more study is needed to verify this result, it raises the possibility of opposing or compensatory mechanisms within or outside of the amygdala [73].

In addition to identifying central extended amygdala regions, our studies in non-human primates have revealed a larger network of brain regions that are involved in threat responding, including the brainstem, insula, and orbitofrontal cortex regions. Interestingly, nearly all of these regions are connected to, and interact with the Ce and BST. This led us to speculate that the effects of orbital prefrontal cortex (OFC) lesions in reducing freezing behavior [74–76] could be mediated by the central extended amygdala. In a combined lesion and imaging study, we found that OFC lesions decreased NEC-induced freezing, along with metabolism in the BST-region during NEC [77]. Moreover, in this same study, BST-region metabolism was correlated with freezing behavior both pre- and post-lesion, suggesting that the BST might mediate the effects of OFC lesions on anxiety-related behavior. These data highlight the role of the central extended amygdala as a neural hub in integrating threat-related contextual information to coordinate the magnitude and quality of expressed defensive responses to threat.

The human central extended amygdala in fear, anxiety, and anxiety disorders

Much of the human neuroimaging work on fear, anxiety, and anxiety disorders has focused on the amygdala per se, with fewer studies attempting to parse the functions of its subnuclei. Nevertheless, a substantial number of studies have identified threat-related activation in the dorsal amygdala region that encompasses the Ce [19,78]. Recent human fMRI studies have tested BST-focused hypotheses derived from the animal literature, identifying contexts that elicit BST activation. Future studies focused on the central extended amygdala can be informed by a more detailed examination of these initial studies. In one BST-focused study, healthy young adults were shown a fake trace of their physiological response (i.e., skin conductance response; SCR), and were told they would receive a shock each time their fake SCR reached a certain threshold [79]. BST-region activation increased as participants observed their fake SCR approach the shock threshold (Figure 3B, left). In another study of healthy subjects, increased BST-region activation occurred when subjects perceived a spider advancing toward their foot [80] (Figure 3B, right). Similarly, when subjects played a Pac-man like video game where capture was associated with an electric shock, BST activation increased as the computer closed in on the subject’s avatar [81]. Increased BST-region activation has also been observed while healthy participants contemplate dangerous

Figure 3. Individual neuroimaging studies in non-human primates (A) and humans (B) as well as meta-analyses of human neuroimaging data (C, D) demonstrate BST involvement anxiety-related behavior and prolonged threat preparedness. In non-human primates individual differences in brain metabolism in the BST region were correlated with variation in freezing (A, left) while animals were alone experiencing separation stress (ALN; blue) as well as during exposure to a potentially threatening human intruder making NEC (red); and variation in anxious temperament (A, right) during both ALN and NEC (purple). In humans, functional magnetic resonance imaging activation in the BST region while potential threats were coming closer, as participants were experiencing shocks (B, left) or as a spider was approaching their foot (B, right). A Logical AND conjunction (P<0.005) of curated meta-analyses of human neuroimaging studies examining (i) the experience of negative affect, and (ii) threat conditioning in anxiety-disorder patients compared to controls reveal activation in the BST region (C). In addition, an automated meta-analysis using Neurosynth [92] found that the 222 brain imaging papers using the word ‘anxiety’ were more likely to report activations in coordinates near the BST in comparison to all of the papers in the database (D). Although we do not interpret these studies as conclusive evidence for involvement of the central extended amygdala in anxiety, together these studies provide the necessary initial data to motivate further study of this region in the primate brain. All figures were reprinted with permission. Abbreviations: ALN, alone during separation stress; BST, lateral bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; NEC, no-eye-contact.
situations, such as the likelihood of highly harmful events, for example, breaking several ribs, drowning, or paralysis [82]. In other studies, the BST region has been identified as showing sustained activation during anticipation of uncertain negative events. For example, sustained BST-region activation occurred during threat-eliciting blocks of negative pictures when the time of picture presentation was unpredictable [83]; while participants awaited the unpredictable onset of a negative image [84]; and, during exposure to a context in which a shock might, or might not, occur [85–87]. Consistent with our OFC-lesion studies in non-human primates [77], a recent study found that patients with ventromedial prefrontal cortex damage had decreased resting blood flow in the BST region, consistent with a role for prefrontal regulation of BST function [88]. Importantly, data from attempts to dissociate anxiety-related activation of Ce from BST by Grillon and colleagues are largely consistent with the animal work, and are beginning to selectively implicate the BST in long-term threat responses and threat preparedness [48]. Together, these data provide the foundation for human studies aimed at understanding the role of the central extended amygdala in threat processing.

Although few publications discuss the BST by name, meta-analyses of activation patterns from these and other reports implicate the BST region in the human experience of fear and anxiety. This is the case for a meta-analysis examining the neural substrates underlying the experience of negative affect, as well as those examining threat conditioning [89,90] (http://www.columbia.edu/cu/psychology/tor/MetaAnalysis.htm; Figure 3C). A meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies using instructed threat paradigms, although never mentioning BST, reported an activation on the midline just superior to the anterior commissure consistent with the location of the BST [91]. In addition, an automated meta-analysis using Neurosynth [92] found that the 222 brain imaging papers using the word ‘anxiety’ were more likely to report activations in coordinates near the BST in comparison to all of the papers in the database (Figure 3D; similar results for ‘fear’ can be found at Neurosynth.org). These data suggest that activation in coordinates associated with the BST region are frequently included in reports, but are not explicitly referred to as BST. It is possible that activations stemming from the BST region have been attributed to bordering regions, such as the caudate [93,94] and nucleus accumbens [95]. The results of the relatively few BST-focused articles, along with the above coordinate-based meta-analyses, suggest that the BST, a key component of the central extended amygdala, plays an important role in threat processing in humans.

There are a few studies that have focused on BST alterations in anxiety-disordered patient populations, and they report increased BST-region activation. For example, increased BST-region activation was noted in spider-phobics during anticipation of phobia-relevant images, in patients with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) looking at combat-relevant images [86]; and, in patients with generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) during a gambling task [97]. These data point to the BST as a region that plays a role in the suffering of patients with anxiety disorders, and provide an impetus for further study of BST in relation to stress-related psychopathology.

Concluding remarks – Opportunity and new frontier

The historical evidence, along with recent large data-based analyses at the molecular and systems levels, convincingly support the concept of the central extended amygdala. As key components of the central extended amygdala, these approaches also establish the similarity of structure and function between the Ce and BST. Based on animal and human studies, there is no question that the central extended amygdala is involved in adaptive and maladaptive threat responding. Nevertheless, much work needs to be done (Box 3). Although animal research has begun to elucidate the role of the Ce and BST, it is important to remember that the central extended amygdala has other components (e.g., substantia innominata) that, with further investigation, may be found to play a critical role in threat processing and preparedness. For reasons already discussed in this review (Box 1), there are a paucity of human neuroimaging studies that have explicitly focused on the BST region. However, meta-analyses of human neuroimaging studies provide compelling evidence that the BST region is associated with fear and anxiety in humans. Thus, because of the potential importance of the BST, it is critical for researchers to incorporate the proposed function of the central extended amygdala into their theories of adaptive and maladaptive threat processing, and to design human studies that optimally reveal threat-related activations in the central extended amygdala.

The central extended amygdala mediates the adaptive responding to perceived threat, as the central extended amygdala is an interface between vigilance, internal emotional states, and associated physiological and behavioral responses. Components of this interface include the Ce and BST, each of which have been demonstrated, under certain conditions, to have dissociable roles in mediating the expression of threat responding. It is likely that a more refined understanding of the overlaps and differences in the function of these structures will provide insight into the wide range of subjective experiences associated with negative affect, fear, and anxiety. As a result of the marked connectivity between Ce and BST, there is significant value in understanding the integrated function of components of the central extended amygdala. Given what we know about the Ce and BST from mechanistic animal work, it is hard to imagine real-life situations involving threat that depend solely on the actions of a single extended amygdala subregion. Imagine yourself walking alone at dusk in an unknown wooded area, while already feeling wary, you jump at the sight of a snake, which further increases your apprehension as darkness ensues. Here, the subjective experience of wariness and apprehension as a consequence of a potential threat requires BST-mediated sustained threat-preparedness to interact with Ce-mediated acute-threat responding. The evolutionary pressures to survive in the face of potential predation are so strong that a major function of the brain is to continuously process and scan the environment to enable rapid and successful defensive responding. In this regard, the central extended amygdala, as a threat detector and effector, is critical for survival. By continuously functioning in the background as a mechanism to rapidly and reliably respond to potential danger, the central extended amygdala enables organisms
to feel secure in exploring new environments and engaging in appetitive behaviors. Thus, excessive activity within central extended amygdala circuits is likely to bring fears to the forefront, tipping the balance between appetitive and defensive behavior resulting in excessive and inappropriate threat responding. This threat-related shift from positive to negative affect, associated with avoidance and withdrawal, is pathognomonic of anxiety and depressive disorders. To develop novel treatments aimed at preventing and ameliorating the symptoms of severe anxiety and fear, it is imperative to further elucidate the role of the extended amygdala in mediating anxiety disorders and stress-related psychopathology.

Acknowledgments
The authors thank P.H. Roseboom, R. Kovner, L.E. Williams, and A.J. Shackman for their insights and discussions surrounding the extended amygdala, along with the dedicated staff of the Harlow Center for Biological Psychology at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. The authors were supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH; Intramural Research Program and extramural grants R21MH15550, R01MH81884, R01MH46729, P50MH84051, P50MH100031, R21MH92581), and the HealthEmotions Research Institute.

References
20 Dong, H.W. et al. (2001) Basic organization of projections from the oval and fusiform nuclei of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in adult rat brain. J. Comp. Neurol. 436, 430–455
33 Gray, T.S. and Magnuson, D.J. (1992) Peptide immunoreactive neurons in the amygdala and the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis project to the midbrain central gray in the rat. Peptides 13, 451–460
34 Bupesh, M. et al. (2011) Genetic and experimental evidence supports the continuum of the central extended amygdala and a multple embryonic origin of its principal neurons. J. Comp. Neurol. 519, 3507–3531
51 Sink, K.S. et al. (2013) CGRP antagonist infused into the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis impairs the acquisition and expression of context but not discretely cued fear. Learn. Mem. 20, 730–739
75 Machado, C.J. and Buchevaler, J. (2008) Behavioral and hormonal reactivity to threat: effects of selective amygdala, hippocampal or orbital frontal lesions in monkeys. Psychoneuroendocrinology 33, 926–941
88 Motzkin, J.C. et al. (2014) Ventromedial prefrontal cortex damage alters resting blood flow to the bed nucleus of stria terminalis. Cortex 64C, 281–288


112 Martinez, R.C. et al. (2011) Amygdalar roles during exposure to a live predator and to a predator-associated context. Neuroscience 172, 314–328


