A common neural substrate for elevated PTSD symptoms and reduced pulse rate variability in combat-exposed veterans

Daniel W. Grupe1,2 | Ted Imhoff-Smith1 | Joseph Wielgosz1,3,4 | Jack B. Nitschke5 | Richard J. Davidson1,2,5,6

INTRODUCTION

Trauma is an embodied experience. In addition to the psychological consequences of trauma, somatic symptoms cause extreme distress in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and pose a substantial hurdle in the treatment of and recovery from trauma (van der Kolk, 2014). In spite of this, etiological theories of PTSD—such as dominant fear learning perspectives—typically emphasize neural mechanisms and treat somatic symptoms as epiphenomenal. The investigation of relationships between the brain and periphery, and how these relationships are altered in trauma-exposed individuals, may help elucidate novel candidate mechanisms of PTSD etiology.

Abstract

Previous studies have identified reduced heart rate variability (HRV) in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which may temporally precede the onset of the disorder. A separate line of functional neuroimaging research in PTSD has consistently demonstrated hypoactivation of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), a key aspect of a descending neuromodulatory system that exerts inhibitory control over heart rate. No research to date, however, has simultaneously investigated whether altered vmPFC activation is associated with reduced HRV and elevated PTSD symptoms in the same individuals. Here, we collected fMRI data during alternating conditions of threat of shock and safety from shock in 51 male combat-exposed veterans with either high or low levels of PTSD symptoms. Pulse rate variability (PRV)—a HRV surrogate calculated from pulse oximetry—was assessed during a subsequent resting scan. Correlational analyses tested for hypothesized relationships between reduced vmPFC activation, lower PRV, and elevated PTSD symptomatology. We found that PTSD re-experiencing symptoms were inversely associated with high-frequency (HF)-PRV, thought to primarily reflect parasympathetic control of heart rate, in veterans with elevated PTSD symptoms. Reduced vmPFC activation for the contrast of safety-threat was associated both with lower HF-PRV and elevated PTSD re-experiencing symptoms. These results tie together previous observations of reduced HRV/PRV and impaired vmPFC function in PTSD and call for further research on reciprocal brain-body relationships in understanding PTSD pathophysiology.
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One way to learn more about such mechanisms is by assessing peripheral psychophysiology in conjunction with brain imaging techniques. A peripheral index of particular interest in this regard is resting heart rate variability (HRV), a reliable measure (Gijjt, Sluiter, & Frings-Dresen, 2007) thought to reflect a trait-like index of adaptive regulatory control of autonomic function by central mechanisms (Thayer & Lane, 2000). Of particular interest is high-frequency (HF)-HRV, the parasympathetically dominated component of HRV tied to the respiration cycle (typically in the frequency of 0.12–0.40 or 0.15–0.40 Hz; Allen, Chambers, & Towers, 2007). Consistent with a role for HRV in flexible regulatory control, reduced HRV is seen in psychiatric disorders marked by deficient inhibitory control of emotional and physiological responding, including depression (Kemp et al., 2010) and anxiety disorders (Chalmers, Quintana, Abbott, & Kemp, 2014). A meta-analysis of 19 studies comparing PTSD patients to controls demonstrated reduced HRV in PTSD, particularly for the parasympathetically dominant HF-HRV (Nagpal, Gleichauf, & Ginsberg, 2013). Further, two large studies in predeployment soldiers found that reduced HF-HRV prior to combat exposure (or, similarly, a smaller HF/low-frequency ratio) predicted postdeployment PTSD symptoms (Minassian et al., 2015; Pyne et al., 2016).

While brainstem regions are directly involved in regulating heart rate and other autonomic processes, translational evidence points to a critical role for the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) in particular, in higher-level autonomic control triggered by motivational and contextual demands. Rat infralimbic cortex, which may be functionally analogous to primate vmPFC, has dense projections to regions directly involved in autonomic control including the nucleus tract of the solitarius, a critical brainstem region for vagal output (Gabbott, Warner, Jays, Salway, & Busby, 2005). Lesion and electrical stimulation studies provide further evidence for a causal influence of rodent mPFC, particularly vmPFC, in parasympathetic control of heart rate (McKlveen, Myers, & Herman, 2015; Resstel, Fernandes, & Corrêa, 2004). Lesion studies in marmosets highlight a specific role for vmPFC in regulating vagally mediated HRV (Wallis, Cardinal, Alexander, Roberts, & Clarke, 2017), and a study in humans found reduced HRV with lesions to the mPFC (Buchanan et al., 2010). In addition to this translational evidence for a causal link between vmPFC and HRV/parasympathetic control, a meta-analysis of correlational human neuroimaging data found greater vmPFC activation to be consistently associated with increased heart rate variability (Thayer et al., 2012). The authors suggested that descending projections from the vmPFC and other aspects of a descending “visceromotor system” have a critical regulatory role on the autonomic nervous system and support context-appropriate threat responding, in part through greater HRV.

Notably, the vmPFC has also been extensively implicated in the pathophysiology of PTSD, as underscored by quantitative meta-analyses of functional neuroimaging research comparing patients and controls (Hayes, Hayes, & Mikedis, 2012; Stark et al., 2015). In particular, reduced activation of the vmPFC during extinction recall or in response to cues representing safety has been noted in PTSD (Garfinkel et al., 2014; Grupe, Wielgosz, Davidson, & Nitschke, 2016; Milad et al., 2009). Collectively, these data suggest that diminished vmPFC activity may lead both to reduced HRV and elevated PTSD symptoms in trauma-exposed individuals. Because HRV is proposed to index physiological flexibility in response to changing environmental demands, vmPFC dysfunction and consequently reduced HRV could compromise traumatized individuals’ ability to respond adaptively across dynamic contexts, resulting in contextually inappropriate and overgeneralized threat responding best encapsulated by hypervigilance and other symptoms of hyperarousal. Alternatively, reduced regulatory control reflected in lower levels of vmPFC activity and reduced HRV may allow unwanted traumatic memories or flashbacks to emerge (Gillie & Thayer, 2014), consistent with a broader proposed role linking lower HRV to reduced inhibitory control of thoughts, emotions, and physiology in a broad array of anxiety disorders (Chalmers et al., 2014). However, the hypothesis that compromised vmPFC function and reduced HRV reflect a common mechanism in the pathology of PTSD remains somewhat theoretical, as few if any studies have simultaneously explored relationships among PTSD symptoms, vmPFC function, and HRV in the same participants.

In the current study, we investigated each of these factors in a fMRI study of combat trauma-exposed veterans. Participants took part in an fMRI task involving alternating conditions of unpredictable threat and safety. During a subsequent resting state scan, we collected pulse rate data using pulse oximetry (owing to the difficulty of collecting ECG data in the MRI environment) and analyzed high-frequency pulse rate variability, or HF-PRV. Importantly, PRV and HRV, which capture different physiological readouts of cardiac variability, are highly correlated during resting conditions (Hayano, Barros, Kamiya, Ohte, & Yasuma, 2005; Schäfer & Vagedes, 2013). We hypothesized that elevated PTSD symptoms would be associated with reduced resting HF-PRV (Nagpal et al., 2013). Based on the perspective that resting HRV/PRV provides an index of emotion regulatory flexibility (Porges, 1995; Thayer & Lane, 2000) and evidence linking greater HRV to increased vmPFC activation during emotional challenges (Thayer et al., 2012), we also hypothesized that greater differences in vmPFC activation between safety and threat of shock would be associated with greater resting HF-PRV. Finally, we examined the spatial similarity of the vmPFC region...
showing a relationship with HF-PRV to a vmPFC region we previously found to be associated with PTSD symptoms in the same sample (Grupe et al., 2016).

2 | METHOD

2.1 | Participants

Participants for this study were veterans of Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom (OEF/OIF) who were exposed to one or more life-threatening war zone trauma events during deployment. These individuals were recruited through online and community advertisements and in collaboration with the Madison VA Hospital, the Madison Veterans’ Center, the Wisconsin National Guard, and other veterans’ organizations. We previously reported on relationships between individual PTSD symptom clusters and brain responses to unpredictable threat anticipation in this sample (Grupe et al., 2016).

Following written informed consent, a team of graduate-level trainees in clinical psychology, counseling psychology, or social work administered the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS; Blake et al., 1990) and Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID; First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 2002) under the supervision of a licensed clinical psychologist (J.B.N.). Exclusionary conditions included substance dependence within the past 3 months and current or past bipolar, psychotic, or cognitive disorders. Based on CAPS scores, individuals were enrolled into a combat-exposed control (CEC) group or a post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) group. Members of the CEC group were free of current Axis I disorders and had CAPS scores < 10, and members of the PTSS group had PTSD symptoms occurring at least monthly with moderate intensity and CAPS scores ≥ 20. Current major depression or dysthymia was not exclusionary in the PTSS group. Current treatment with psychotropic medications (other than benzodiazepines or beta blockers) or maintenance psychotherapy was permitted if treatment was stable for 8 weeks prior to the beginning of the study.

Although 58 participants were enrolled, we only analyzed data from male participants as the sample included only four women. In addition, two participants could not tolerate the shock, and one exceeded the motion threshold during fMRI scanning. The final sample of 51 male veterans consisted of 17 in the CEC group and 34 in the PTSS group, 16 of whom met full PTSD diagnostic criteria and 18 of whom met criteria for only 1 or 2 of the symptom clusters (Table 1).

Our inclusion criteria for the PTSS group initially required more severe PTSD symptoms (CAPS ≥ 40), but difficulty meeting recruitment targets led us to relax this criterion. This resulted in a noncontinuous and non-normal distribution of PTSD symptoms, with a large cluster of participants at the very low end, no participants with CAPS between 10–19, and a relatively normal distribution of participants in the PTSS group. Notably, whereas symptoms in the CEC group were almost entirely restricted to the hyperarousal cluster, participants in the PTSS group had on average moderate-to-high levels of each of the three DSM-IV symptom clusters. Based on these symptom distributions, we elected to conduct analyses in a dimensional manner with regard to PTSD symptoms both within the entire sample and within the PTSS group alone.

2.2 | fMRI task

We previously published complete details of the fMRI task in this sample (Grupe et al., 2016). Briefly, during a baseline visit within 2 weeks of the MRI scan, participants took part in a shock calibration procedure to determine a level of shock, delivered to the right ventral wrist, that was perceived as “very unpleasant, but not painful,” after which they practiced the task described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTSS Mean (N = 34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(high-frequency PRV)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean respiration ratea</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years since deployment</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat Exposure Scale</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS B (re-experiencing)</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS C (avoidance/numbing)</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS D (hyperarousal)</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. Bolded values indicate group differences at *p* < 0.05. PTSS = post-traumatic stress symptoms; CEC = combat-exposed control; PRV = pulse rate variability; CAPS = Clinician Administered PTSD Scale. |

*a Only 44 participants had valid respiration data.
On the day of the MRI scan, a single shock was delivered to confirm the shock calibration procedure, and participants took part in an instructed threat anticipation task (Figure 1a). Each trial began with a 2-s presentation of a blue or yellow square, which participants were explicitly instructed indicated threat of shock or safety from shock (counterbalanced). Next, the same color clock appeared for 4–10 s (mean duration = 7.67 s). On predictable trials, a red mark appeared in a random location, and the anticipation period ended when a slowly rotating hand reached this mark. On unpredictable trials, no red mark appeared, and participants could not predict the end of the anticipation period. On 12/42 threat trials, a 200-ms electric shock was delivered concurrently with a neutral tone. On all other trials, the anticipation period concluded with a 200-ms tone only. All trials were followed by a 5–9 s intertrial interval. The scan session included 42 threat trials and 30 safe trials, split evenly between predictable/unpredictable conditions. The relatively sparse reinforcement schedule (29% of trials), comparable to previous studies examining neural responses to threat of shock (e.g., Schiller, Levy, LeDoux, Niv, & Phelps, 2008), was chosen to ensure a sufficient number of trials uncontaminated by shock and allowed us to analyze an equivalent of nonreinforced threat and safe trials.

Given the central role of uncertainty in anxiety and trauma-related disorders, we expected more robust relationships with PTSD symptoms for unpredictable trials and focused on this condition for a priori analyses, as in Grupe et al. (2016). We contrasted parameter estimates for the 4–10 s anticipation epoch between unpredictable threat (uThreat) and unpredictable safe (uSafe) trials. In secondary analyses, we ran analogous analyses for the contrast of predictable threat versus predictable safe trials.

2.3 | MRI data collection

MRI data were collected on a 3T X750 GE Discovery scanner using an 8-channel head coil and ASSET parallel imaging with an acceleration factor of 2. Data collected included three sets of echo planar images (EPIs) during the threat anticipation task (240 volumes/8:00, TR = 2,000, TE = 20, flip angle = 60°, field of view = 220 mm, 96 × 64 matrix, 3-mm slice thickness with 1-mm gap, 40 interleaved sagittal slices), 1 set of EPIs during a subsequent resting state scan (210 volumes/7:00), and a T1-weighted anatomical image for functional data registration. Visual stimuli were presented using Avotec fiber optic goggles, auditory stimuli were presented binaurally using Avotec headphones, and behavioral responses were recorded using a Current Designs button box.

2.4 | Psychophysiology data collection and processing

Peripheral physiological data were acquired during each of the three task runs and the subsequent resting state scan; analyses here utilized data from the 7-min resting state scan. Pulse rate data were acquired using a pulse oximeter on the second finger of the left hand (contralateral to shock delivery), and respiration data were acquired using a belt placed at the bottom of the rib cage. All peripheral physiological data were amplified using a BIOPAC MP-150 system and digitized at 1,000 Hz.

FIGURE 1  fMRI task. (a) During the threat anticipation task, the color of a square that appeared for 2 s indicated threat of shock or safety from shock (counterbalanced). A subsequent 4–10 s anticipation period terminated in shock on 29% of trials in the threat condition. Analyses focused on unpredictable trials (bottom row), where participants had no visual cue to indicate the termination of the anticipation period. (b) Across the anatomically defined ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) region of interest, the group as a whole showed greater activation during safe versus threat trials, t(50) = 6.29, p < 0.001
Pulse rate data were preprocessed using in-house MATLAB software that automatically detected heartbeats, after which missing or extra beats were manually identified. The resulting time series of interbeat intervals (IBIs) was analyzed in CMetX (Allen et al., 2007). Detected artifacts (>300 ms difference between consecutive IBIs) were manually reviewed and rejected if determined to be artifactual. The primary outcome of interest was HF-PRV (Schäfer & Vagedes, 2013), defined as the natural log of the band-pass filtered IBI time series between 0.12–0.40 Hz, or the typical frequency range for the respiratory cycle.

Mean respiration rate was calculated using in-house MATLAB scripts. We visually inspected the respiration signal and filtered out distorted or artifactual data before extracting trough-to-trough intervals from usable data and calculating mean respiration rate (breaths/minute). We excluded participants from respiration analyses if they had fewer than 60 s of clean data from which we could estimate respiration rate.

2.5 Data analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in R version 3.2.2. All fMRI processing and analysis were conducted using FEAT (FMRI Expert Analysis Tool) Version 6.00, part of FSL (FMRIB’s Software Library, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl), as fully described in our previous publication (Grupe et al., 2016).

To test Hypothesis 1 (inverse relationship between PRV and PTSD symptoms), we first conducted an independent samples t test to compare HF-PRV between PTSS and CEC groups. We also calculated Pearson correlations between HF-PRV and total CAPS scores, both in the full sample (N = 51) and the PTSS group (N = 34). In follow-up analyses, we tested whether a significant inverse correlation identified in the PTSS group was specific to individual symptom clusters (re-experiencing, avoidance/numbing, and hyperarousal), first by calculating Pearson correlations between each of the three symptom clusters, and second by simultaneous regression of HF-PRV on these three clusters. Because HF-PRV is coupled with respiration, we reran these analyses using residualized HF-PRV after regressing out resting respiration rate (due to poor respiration data quality in some participants, we could estimate respiration rate in only 29/34 PTSS and 15/17 CEC participants).

To test Hypothesis 2, we conducted voxelwise correlation analysis of HF-PRV and uSafe-uThreat contrast estimates constrained to the anatomically defined vmPFC, in the full sample and the PTSS group alone. We again ran follow-up analyses using respiration-residualized HF-PRV. Repeating an analysis from a previous report in this sample linking vmPFC activation to re-experiencing symptoms of PTSD (Grupe et al., 2016), we also conducted simultaneous voxelwise regression of vmPFC contrast estimates on each of the three PTSD symptom clusters. The vmPFC mask consisted of medial portions of Brodmann areas 10, 11, 12, 24, 25, and 32 ventral to the genu of the corpus callosum (generated using the Wake Forest University PickAtlas; Maldjian, Laurienti, Kraft, & Burdette, 2003). Exploratory regression analyses were conducted across the entire brain. Cluster threshold correction was applied to the vmPFC and across the whole brain using a voxelwise threshold of p < 0.001, resulting in corrected significance of p < 0.05. The cluster-forming threshold was 31 voxels for small volume-corrected vmPFC analyses and 94–96 voxels for whole brain analyses, depending on the specific analysis.

Nonthresholded voxelwise statistical maps for all fMRI analyses are provided online at https://neurovault.org/collections/4544/.

3 RESULTS

3.1 PRV is inversely associated with PTSD re-experiencing symptoms

There was no significant difference in HF-PRV between participants in the PTSS and CEC groups, t(49) = 1.29, p = 0.20, d = 0.38, nor was there a relationship between HF-PRV and PTSD CAPS symptoms measured continuously within the full sample, r(49) = −0.05, 95% CI = [−0.32, 0.23], p = 0.72.

Within the PTSS group alone, there was a significant inverse relationship between HF-PRV and total CAPS symptoms, r(32) = −0.41, 95% CI = [−0.66, −0.08], p = 0.015 (Figure 2a). This relationship was strongest for the re-experiencing cluster, r(32) = −0.48, 95% CI = [−0.70, −0.17], p = 0.004 (Figure 2b) and was not significant for avoidance/numbing, r(32) = −0.31, 95% CI = [−0.59, 0.03], p = 0.08, or hyperarousal symptoms, r(32) = −0.19, 95% CI = [−0.50, 0.16], p = 0.28. To test the specificity of this relationship to re-experiencing symptoms, simultaneous regression of HF-PRV on these three symptom clusters was conducted. This revealed a significant relationship between re-experiencing symptoms and HF-PRV, t(30) = −2.39, p = 0.023, and no relationships for avoidance/numbing or hyperarousal symptoms (ts < 1, ps > 0.4).

Although our sample was enrolled on the basis of PTSD symptoms, we conducted follow-up analyses with anxiety and depression symptoms, owing to comorbidity of these conditions with PTSD and observations of reduced HRV in mood and anxiety disorders (Chalmers et al., 2014; Kemp et al., 2010). Beck Anxiety Inventory scores showed a nonsignificant inverse correlation with HF-PRV in the PTSS group, r(32) = −0.27, p = 0.11, and no relationship with
HF-PRV in the full sample, \( r(49) = -0.05, p = 0.69 \). Beck Depression Inventory scores were not associated with HF-PRV in the PTSS group, \( r(32) = -0.08, p = 0.65 \), or in the full sample, \( r(49) = 0.12, p = 0.40 \). Notably, greater CAPS re-experiencing symptoms were still associated with reduced HF-PRV within the PTSS group in a model including other CAPS symptoms as well as anxiety and depression symptoms, although this relationship did not meet statistical significance, \( t(28) = -2.02, p = 0.053 \); all other \( ts < 1.3, ps > 0.2 \).

We conducted additional analyses to ensure that individual differences in respiration rate were not driving relationships between HF-PRV and re-experiencing symptoms. Of the 44 participants with valid respiration data, 43 had respiration frequencies within the 0.12–0.40 Hz window used to band-pass filter the IBI time series (the 44th participant fell just outside this window, frequency = 0.44 Hz). There was a nonsignificant inverse relationship between respiration rate and HF-PRV, such that faster breathing tended to be associated with lower HF-PRV (full sample, \( r(42) = 0.24, 95\% CI = [-0.06, 0.50], p = 0.12 \); PTSS, \( r(27) = 0.29, 95\% CI = [-0.09, 0.59], p = 0.12 \)). Importantly, however, respiration rate was unrelated to overall CAPS symptoms (full sample, \( r(42) = 0.19, 95\% CI = [-0.11, 0.46], p = 0.22 \); PTSS, \( r(27) = 0.24, 95\% CI = [-0.14, 0.56], p = 0.20 \)) or re-experiencing symptoms (full sample, \( r(42) = 0.17, 95\% CI = [-0.13, 0.44], p = 0.27 \); PTSS, \( r(27) = 0.17, 95\% CI = [-0.21, 0.51], p = 0.37 \)). Additionally, we observed a significant correlation in the PTSS group between respiration-adjusted HF-PRV and re-experiencing symptoms, \( r(27) = -0.43, 95\% CI = [-0.69, -0.08], p = 0.02 \). In the simultaneous regression model with all three symptom clusters, re-experiencing symptoms no longer accounted for significant unique variance in respiration-adjusted HF-PRV, \( t(25) = -1.89, p = 0.07 \).

### 3.2 PRV is positively associated with anticipatory safe-threat vmPFC activation

Voxelwise regression of uSafe-uThreat contrast estimates revealed small volume-corrected clusters within the vmPFC that showed a positive relationship with HF-PRV for the full sample (Figure 3a) and the PTSS group (Figure 3b). Participants with greater anticipatory vmPFC activation for safety versus threat had relatively higher HF-PRV (greater safe vs. threat activation being the normative pattern across the vmPFC; Figure 1b). Each of these clusters was localized to BA10, or the medial frontopolar aspect of vmPFC. Similar small volume-corrected clusters were observed for respiration-adjusted HF-PRV in participants with valid respiration data. No clusters survived whole brain significance for the PTSS group or the full sample. For the contrast of predictable safe–predictable threat trials (pSafe-pThreat), there were no significant whole brain or vmPFC clusters that showed an association with HF-PRV in the PTSS group or the full sample.

Extraction of uSafe and uThreat betas from these functional clusters showed that greater HF-PRV was associated with greater vmPFC activation to safety (full sample, \( r = 0.54 \); PTSS, \( r = 0.53 \)) and lower vmPFC activation (or greater vmPFC deactivation) to threat (full sample, \( r = -0.26 \); PTSS \( r = -0.38 \)); valid statistical inferences cannot be made regarding the relative magnitude of parameter estimates from this functionally defined cluster (Kriegeskorte, Simmons, Bellgowan, & Baker, 2009).

Most of the literature on PTSD and HRV has investigated resting HRV, thought to reflect a stable (Guijt et al., 2007), traitlike index of the capacity for flexible autonomic responding to emotional challenge. For completeness, we also ran analyses relating task-related HF-PRV (averaged across Runs 1–3) to PTSD symptoms and vmPFC activation during the task (one participant from the PTSS group did not have valid...
Task-related HF-PRV was highly correlated with resting HF-PRV (full sample, \( r(48) = 0.87, p < 0.001 \); PTSS, \( r(31) = 0.85, p < 0.001 \)). Task-related HF-PRV showed a similar negative relationship with total CAPS scores in the PTSS group, \( r(31) = -0.39, p = 0.025 \), that was significant for the re-experiencing cluster only, \( r(31) = -0.43, p = 0.013 \). Task-related HF-PRV was positively correlated with uSafe-uThreat activation in a similar aspect of vmPFC that did not meet small volume-corrected significance (full sample: 17 voxels at \( p < 0.001 \), uncorrected; PTSS: 26 voxels at \( p < 0.001 \), uncorrected; voxel-forming threshold is 31 voxels). An exploratory whole brain regression in the PTSS group revealed a positive correlation between left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex activation for uSafe-uThreat and task-related HF-PRV (peak coordinate: \(-50, 24, -10\)).

### 3.3 PTSD re-experiencing symptoms are negatively associated with activation of adjacent vmPFC

In our previous report on this sample, we identified an inverse relationship in a similar aspect of BA10 between uSafe-uThreat activation and PTSD re-experiencing symptoms (Grupe et al., 2016, figure S5b). Overlaying statistical maps from the HF-PRV and re-experiencing regression analyses, we observed that the HF-PRV cluster was immediately adjacent to a small volume-corrected cluster in which greater uSafe-uThreat activation was correlated with lower re-experiencing symptoms (Figure 4).

The regression of pSafe-pThreat on CAPS re-experiencing symptoms revealed a left vmPFC cluster just lateral to...
that for the uSafe-uThreat contrast at \( p < 0.001 \) (uncorrected) that, at 28 voxels, failed to meet small volume-corrected significance.

Nonthresholded statistical maps for each of these regression analyses are available at https://neurovault.org/collections/4544/.

4 | DISCUSSION

In a study of combat-exposed male veterans, we simultaneously investigated relationships between vmPFC responses to safety versus threat, resting high-frequency pulse rate variability, and PTSD re-experiencing symptoms. Three key findings emerged from this investigation that shed light on potential brain-body mechanisms of PTSD.

First, in veterans with elevated symptoms of PTSD (CAPS > 20), we identified a specific relationship between greater re-experiencing symptoms of PTSD and reduced PRV, a surrogate measure for HRV that can be obtained in the MRI environment. Relationships between re-experiencing symptoms in PTSD and executive control deficits (Aupperle, Melrose, Stein, & Paulus, 2012; Bomyea, Amir, & Lang, 2012; Vasterling, Brailey, Constans, & Sutker, 1998) suggest that reduced inhibitory control compromises the ability to prevent unwanted traumatic memories from rising into awareness in PTSD. Further, it has been proposed that HRV indexes one’s ability to implement flexible regulatory control in the face of distractors or challenges to one’s well-being (Thayer et al., 2012), and HRV has been linked to difficulties suppressing unwanted memories in healthy college students (Gillie, Vasey, & Thayer, 2014). Gillie and Thayer (2014) proposed that reduced HRV contributes to the inability to control unwanted memories or thoughts related to trauma and as such represents a physiological mechanism of re-experiencing symptoms, such as flashbacks and nightmares. Our results provide empirical support for this theoretical model, although replication of these results in a larger sample that also incorporates behavioral indices of cognitive control is an important next step.

The second key finding was that reduced PRV was associated with reduced vmPFC recruitment under conditions of safety versus threat. Convergent clinical observations and neuroscientific evidence suggest that PTSD is not associated with contextually inappropriate, inflexible, and overgeneralized threat responding (Garfinkel et al., 2014; Kaczkurkin et al., 2017; Levy-Gigi, Richter-Levin, Szabo, & Keri, 2015; Morey et al., 2015). An inability of the vmPFC to differentially respond to threat versus safety, and corresponding autonomic inflexibility reflected in reduced PRV, may contribute to elevated threat responding in an objectively safe context. The region of vmPFC identified here corresponds to frontopolar cortex or medial BA10, a region that has expanded considerably in humans relative to nonhuman primates and which is theorized to be important for shifting attentional or executive resources away from current goals to other potential goals in the environment (Mansouri, Koehl, Rosa, & Buckley, 2017). This perspective on the broad function of BA10 is not inconsistent with the flexible, context-specific, inhibitory control function ascribed by Thayer and colleagues (2012) to HRV. Notably, a previous study identified a positive correlation between HRV and activation in a similar vmPFC region during self-control challenges (Maier & Hare, 2017), a finding consistent with the current findings in light of the above framework linking re-experiencing symptoms to deficient cognitive control.

Third, the vmPFC cluster related to reduced HF-PRV was immediately adjacent to a BA10 region in which we previously identified a relationship with re-experiencing symptoms in these same participants. This anatomical similarity suggests that a common deficit in vmPFC function may have negative consequences both for PRV/HRV and PTSD re-experiencing symptoms, although strong evidence for the specific mechanistic relationship linking these processes would require alternative designs to the current correlational study. For example, it would be informative to test whether the effectiveness of somatic interventions targeting PTSD symptoms—for example, aerobic exercise (Fetzner & Asmundson, 2015), meditation (Polusny et al., 2015), yoga (Galegos, Crean, Pigeon, & Heffner, 2017), or HRV feedback training (Tan, Dao, Farmer, Sutherland, & Gevirtz, 2011)—is predicted by normalized vmPFC function and corresponding increases in HRV.

One question raised by our results is why control participants with the lowest HF-PRV levels had few or no re-experiencing symptoms. HF-PRV was, on average, no different between CEC and PTSS groups and was related to re-experiencing symptoms. For example, aerobic exercise (Fetzner & Asmundson, 2015), meditation (Polusny et al., 2015), yoga (Galegos, Crean, Pigeon, & Heffner, 2017), or HRV feedback training (Tan, Dao, Farmer, Sutherland, & Gevirtz, 2011)—is predicted by normalized vmPFC function and corresponding increases in HRV.

One important limitation of these results is that PRV and HRV, while measuring the same underlying signal, are
not fully equivalent measures. We assessed PRV using pulse oximetry due to the difficulty of collecting ECG data in the MRI environment. Although PRV and HRV are highly correlated, particularly at rest (Hayano et al., 2005; Schäfer & Vagedes, 2013), the two measures rely on distinct physiological readouts of cardiac function, and PRV may be less accurate for the measurement of high-frequency variability in particular (Wong et al., 2012). Much of the extant literature on PTSD and cardiac autonomic control assesses HRV and not PRV, (although some published “HRV” studies in fact utilize photoplethysmography; e.g., Minassian et al., 2015), and caution is warranted in generalizing the current results to the HRV literature until PRV is better established as an index of regulatory control and psychopathology. An additional important limitation is that we studied a relatively homogeneous sample (male OEF/OIF veterans who experienced combat trauma), which, while reducing potential sources of variability, also limits generalizability of findings to female veterans or individuals exposed to noncombat trauma. Finally, although we have emphasized the traitlike nature of resting PRV/HRV and interpreted results accordingly, the resting data were acquired immediately after the threat-of-shock task. We cannot be certain that similar relationships would be observed if resting PRV were assessed during an independent session, and this limitation should be addressed in future studies.

In summary, we have presented evidence that reduced functional activation in spatially overlapping voxels of the vmPFC is associated with both reduced HF-PRV and increased re-experiencing symptoms of PTSD. These data provide preliminary support for a mechanistic link between previous observations of reduced HRV and impaired vmPFC function in PTSD. More broadly, these findings underscore the potential of research on reciprocal brain-body relationships that may enhance our understanding of the pathophysiology of PTSD and suggest novel treatment targets for somatically focused therapeutic approaches.
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