Computational Methods in NeuroImage Analysis

Instructor: Moo K. Chung mkchung@wisc.edu

Lecture 7 Topological computation Brain Network Modeling

October 22, 2010

Research proposal (10% of the final grade)

What is expected:

Organization (5 points) Depth & complexity of proposal (5 points) Methodological Innovation & novelty (5 points) Sufficient literature review (5 points)

Penalty for late submission (5% / day)

Minimum requirement for A-grad report: at least 4 page with sufficient number of references excluding references. I don't mind receiving 10 page proposals. Why do we need topological approaches?

Chung et al. 2009. Information processing in Medical Imaging (IPMI) Read chung.2009.IPMI.pdf

Usual scientific model:

$$f = \mu + \epsilon$$

Correlated test statistic:

Type-I error computation:

$$P\Big(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{M}}T(x)>h\Big)$$

Euler characteristic based random field theory Worsley et al., Human Brain Mapping, 1996

Uses Morse Theory to link analytical & geometric problem to topology

Read Chapter 1.5

$$X(t) = \mu(t) + e(t), t \in \Omega$$

Search region Whole brain or ROI

 $H_0: \mu(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \Omega$ vs. $H_1: \mu(t) > 0$ for some $t \in \Omega$.

If there is a point $\,t_0\,\,$ such that $\mu(t_0^{})>0\,\,$, reject the null hypothesis.

For smoothed images, clustered voxels around t_0 will satisfy $\mu(t) > 0$.

Ex. fMRI Multiple Comparisons



- 1,000 multivariate observations, each with 100,000 elements
- 100,000 time series, each with 1,000 observations
- Massively Univariate Approach
 - 100,000 hypothesis tests



Point-wise inference

 $H_0: \mu(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \Omega$ vs. $H_1: \mu(t) > 0$ for some $t \in \Omega$

At each fixed *t*,

$$J_0(t): \mu(t) = 0.$$
 vs. $J_1(t): \mu(t) > 0.$

$$H_0$$
 is true iff. $J_0(t)$ is true for all t : $H_0 = \bigcap_{t \in \Omega} J_0(t)$.

 H_1 is true iff $J_1(t)$ is true for some t: $H_0^C = \bigcup_{t \in \Omega} J_0^C(t)$.

Test statistic and rejection rule

- Hypothesis testing requires a test statistic and the corresponding rejection rule.
- For one sample test, we can use Z-stat or T-stat. In many applications, Z-stat is sufficient since T-stat is approximately Z-stat for large degrees of freedom.
- Then we construct a rejection rule: Large T-stat value --> reject the null hypothesis
 Small T-stat value --> accept the null hypothesis

Type-I error (alpha-level)

- The type-I error is the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis (there is signal) when the null hypothesis (there is no signal) is true.
- The type-I error is the probability of detecting **false positives**.
- The type-I error computation requires a statistic (Zstat. t-stat, F-stat, Chi-square stat. etc).
- **Example:** Z-stat. If the Z-statistic value obtained from measurments is 1.65, alpha=0.05=P(Z>1.65).

Alpha-level for multiple comparisons Family-wise error rate (FER)

$$\alpha = P(\text{ reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true})$$
$$= P\left(\bigcup_{t \in \Omega} J_0^C(t) \middle| H_0 \text{ is true}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= P\Big(\bigcup_{t\in\Omega} \{T(t) > h\}\Big) \\ &= 1 - P\Big(\bigcap_{t\in\Omega} \{T(t) \le h\}\Big) \\ &= 1 - P\Big(\sup_{t\in\Omega} T(t) \le h\Big) \\ &= P\Big(\sup_{t\in\Omega} T(t) > h\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Corrected P-value

- P-value: the smallest alpha-level at which the null hypothesis is rejected.
 Example: P(Z > observed z-stat. value).
- Corrected P-value is the P-value corrected for multiple comparisons.

 $P(\sup T(t) > \text{observed max T-stat})$

Determining the distribution of sup T(t) is very hard

Bonferroni correction

• Assume there are *m*-voxels in the search region: t_1, \dots, t_m

$$\alpha = P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \{T(t_j) > h\}\right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} P(T(t_j) > h).$$

This becomes exact if *T* statistics are not correlated. We control each T statistic separately.

$$P(Y(t_j) > h) < \frac{\alpha}{m}$$

Bonferroni Correction Simulation



Z ~ N(0,1) noise P(Z>1.65)=0.05

Bonfenoni correction thresholding at 1.65 5% false positives

For 1 million voxels, 50000 voxels will be found to be signal (false positives)
→ Need multiple comparison correction

fMRI verbal fluency block design example



FDR ≤ 0.05 $t_0 = 3.8119$



FWER ≤ 0.05 Bonferroni $t_0 = 5.485$

Statistical Methods in NeuroImaging Attend next year's class

Tom Nicholes

MATLAB demonstration

Random Field Theory Keith Worsley (1951-2009)





Brain imaging research done for 21 years between 1987-2008. The first major publication in 1992.

See Chapter 1.2 for basics on random fields

Random Field Theory Assumptions

- Images need to follow Gaussian.
- Constructed statistics need to be sufficiently smooth. If underlying images are smooth, constructed statistics are smooth.
- The data need to be stationary (uniform FWHM within a search region). If not, we average FWHM across voxels. This requirement can be relaxed.

Nonuniformity of FWHM



Excursion Probability



Change of excursion set



Heuristic Argument for Adler's formula

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in\Omega}T(t)>h\right)\approx P(\chi(A_h)>0)\approx E(\chi(A_h))$$

For very high *h* value, the Euler characteristic counts the number of clusters, which is one.





# neighbors	1	2	2	3	4
# Vertex	2	1	0	0	0
# Edge	3	2	2	1	0
# Face	1	1	1	1	1
EC change	0	0	-1	0	1



Computing Euler characteristic (2D)

Computing Euler characteristic (3D)



Partition search region into voxels. EC = # volume - # faces + # edges - # vertices



MATLAB demonstration

Expected Euler Characteristic

$$\mathbb{E}\chi(A_z) = P\Big(Z(x) > z\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i(\Omega)\rho_i(z)$$

 ρ_i *i*-dimensional EC density

$$\rho_i(z) = \mathbb{E}\left[(Z-z)^+ \det(-\ddot{Z}_i)|\dot{Z}_i = 0\right] f_{\dot{Z}_i}(0)$$

 $\phi_i(\Omega)$ Minkowski functional of Ω $\phi_i(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{N-i}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{N-i}{2})} \int_{\partial\Omega} \det_{N-1-i}(K_{\partial\Omega}) dA$

> $K_{\partial\Omega}$ curvature matrix of $\partial\Omega$ detr_i($K_{\partial\Omega}$) sum of $i \times i$ principal minors of $K_{\partial\Omega}$

Expected EC for a stationary Gaussian field

$$E(EC) = \frac{\text{Volume} \lambda^3}{(2\pi)^2} (t^2 - 1) e^{-t^2/2}$$

$$+ \frac{(1/2) \text{ Area } \lambda^2}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} t e^{-t^2/2}$$

$$+ \frac{2 \text{ Diameter } \lambda}{(2\pi)} e^{-t^2/2}$$

$$+ \frac{EC}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_t^\infty e^{-z^2/2} dz.$$

MATLAB demonstration

Application to autism

Autistic children (n=17)

Control subjects (n=14)

Matched for age, handedness, IQ and head size

Abnormal connectivity hypothesis in autism: local over-connectivity long-range under-connectivity

At a given node *p*, there are *k* neighboring nodes.

$$c(p) = \frac{\text{actual number of edges}}{k(k-1)/2}$$



Clustering coefficient for a single subject



Clustering coefficients for all subjects



Degree of nodes for a single subject



Global degree distribution

red: autism blue: control



pvalues = 0.024, 0.015 and 0.080 for degrees 1, 2 and 3.

Degree of nodes for all subjects



Largest connected component for 4 subjects



In average 96% of all nodes are connected to each other. We believe 100% of all nodes are supposed to be connected. 4% is a processing noise caused by weak connections.

Group difference in disconnected components



Control=blue Autism=red

high clustering on the right parietal lobe (pvalue = 0.01) Chung et al., Neuroimage 2010

group difference at lateral nuclei

Left amygdala

Right amygdala

а

Discussion

2 (Emotion) × 2 (Orientation) Neutral Emotional



Straight-ahead

Quarter-turned

Amygdala network correlated with behavioral measures

Correlating facial emotion

discrimination task

response and

amygdala shape



Probabilistic connectivity map using DTI



ransition probability of random walk is iteratively computed from the seed voxel Compare this with our Jacobian determinant-based connectivity map.

White matter connectivity based on correlating Jacobian determinant



No need for DTI doing structural connectivity analysis



Speaker: Jee Eun Lee, PhD. Waisman Laboratory for Brain Ima

Waisman Laboratory for Brain Imaging and Behavior University of Wisconsin-Madison

Time: October 22 Friday 11:00-11:50am Place: SNUH Bldg 001 (의대 본관) Rm. 308

Title: Diffusion tensor imaging and its applications in autism

Abstract: Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is a non-invasive imaging method for assessing the characteristic and organization of tissue microstructures. Since DTI is sensitive to abnormal tissues, this fact has been exploited in characterizing various clinical populations. In this talk we first discuss the basic tensor model in DTI and a method for acquiring less noisy DTI data by applying anisotropic diffusion weighting schemes. Secondly we present our new method "tissue-specific smoothing-compensated voxel based analysis" and results from applying the method to DTI of autistic children.

KAIST-SNU JOINT WORKSHOP ON SPARSE DATA RECOVERY AND ITS APPLICATION TO MEDICAL IMAGING

Time: November 9 Tuesday 10:00am-5:00pm Place: SNUH 연건캠퍼스

Lecture 8

Geometric Computation

Read

seo.2010.pdf chung.2004.ISBI.pdf

Lecture 9-10 More on network modeling (not going to teach about network complexity measures)